Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name:	Serendipitous
Your Name:	Jamie Wallen
Your Institution:	Western Carolina University
Your email:	jamiewallen@email.wcu.edu
Additional emails: (For correspondence)	

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

- [x] 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- [x] 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
- [x] 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
- [x] 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
- [x] 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
- [x] 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
- [ ] 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

For the YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
- [x] c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- [x] d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
- [x] e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
- [x] c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
- [x] d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

The following areas of the Serendipitous genome may need further attention:

" Genes 7 and 8: These genes were closely investigated as they are the only reverse genes in a region of forward genes. Phamerator was informative as other B5 genomes also had these reverse genes. Gene 8 had terrible RBS scores, but it does have BLAST support with " final " genomes. Thus, we have left Genes 7 and 8 as auto-annotated.

" Gene 76: Poor BLAST results with a mix of 1:1 and 1:28. Looking carefully at the B5 genomes there was still a mix of choices. We chose that start at 61875 because it created the longest ORF and minimized the gap with gene 77.