Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Preliminary Annotation Review Checklist 4-4-2018

Phage Name:	Crispicous1
Your Name:	Megan Eckardt
Your Institution:	Western Carolina University
Your email:	maeckardt@wcu.edu
Additional emails: (For correspondence)	

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. If you are not sure how to do something, please see the Online Bioinformatics manual page "How to Pass Preliminary Review".

- ✔ 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- ✔ 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button?
- ✔ 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number?
- ✔ 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed?
- ✔ 5. Are the locus tags the"SEA_ PHAGENAME"?
- ✔ 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table?
- ✔ 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE?
- ✔ 8. Has the frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone been annotated (where applicable?)

9. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. For the

YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- ✔ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ✔ b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes
- ✔ c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- ✔ d. Are all three lines of functional evidence described for EVERY gene?
- ✔ e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- ✔ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ✔ b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function (including hidden marks?
- ✔ c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list?
- ✔ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

- ✔ 10. Did you use PECAAN to annotate your phage?

If, so please describe how in the text field after question 11.

11. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

PECAAN was used for initial annotation, then the notes were exported into DNA master and checked in DNA master.

There are some large gaps towards the end of the genome. These gaps were investigated. There is no significant coding potential that is not covered by the current genes. Also, all ORFs over ~100bp were investigated and none showed any BLAST or HHPred support. However, this is a region of uncertainty for us.

The auto annotation had multiple directional switches at the end of the genome. However, some of these genes were orphams. Further investigation led to us removing some genes in this region and adding some genes. Some of this region represents difficult calls, but we are fairly confident in our final annotation.