

# Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

## Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name: GingkoMaracino  
Your Name: Jeff McLean  
Your Institution: Rockland Community College  
Your email: jmclean2@sunyrockland.edu  
Additional emails:  
(For correspondence)

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

- 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
- 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
- 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
- 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
- 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
- 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

For the YourPhageName\_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
- c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
- e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
- c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
- d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

Thank you for reviewing our submission of annotation for mycobacteriophage GingkoMaracino of the A3 subcluster. We are fairly confident of our annotations, and request specific review of the following genome features:

1. Gene 40 and 41 have no overlap, both blast hit for deocycytidylate deaminase and it is tempting to merge them into a single gene, but there is a stop codon in frame separating the two genes.
2. Gene 48, DNA Polymerase 1, appears to be a Klenow fragment - missing the typical excionase domain.
3. Genes 61, 65 are not called by glimmer or genemark
4. Stop1-15, stoplysis, stoplysoqenv are stopoperator sites for qp79 immunity repressor activity.