Actinobacteriophage Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

This Cover Sheet will accompany each genome’s annotation file(s) submission and succinctly describe the work that your students and you have done. This document ensures that the work done was as complete and thorough as it could be. Most important to the QC reviewer, denote where the trouble spots were in your annotation and how they were resolved.

Phage Name. Cranjis

Your Name. Matt Mastropaolo

Your Institution. Neumann University

Your email. mastropm@neumann.edu

Additional emails. (for correspondence). STROBLP@neumann.edu

Describe any issues or specific genes that you would like to highlight for the QC reviewer. This includes any genes that you had questions about or received help with or that warrant further inspection in the QC review process. Include those genes that you deliberated on and/or want to strongly advocate for. If you contacted SMART, workshop facilitator, or a buddy school for help, please document.

  We were unable to find a slippery sequence in our Tail Assembly Chaperone. The cluster specific annotations last were updated in 2019 and it states that there was not sufficient evidence to annotation a slippery sequence and that all genes should be annotated as they are without the slippery sequence. We left it as is after not being able to find one. The genome did not have any tRNAs. The gene located at 3384-3229 bp has been deleted due to its overlap with the gene at 3254-3218 bp. The DNAMaster renamed all of the hypothetical proteins with lowercase letters and not uppercase H/P. Please Gene product 21, Portal protein as the start site does not agree with either glimmer or genemark, but it agrees with the members in the pham based on starterator. Similar discrepancies were seen with the following genes 27, 29, and 52.

Gene product 34 needs to be further examined as it has possible head to tail components, gene product 47 is a good holin candidate. I am unable to reblast the DNAMaster file, however all of the genes were rerun on PECAAN the day prior to submission.

Please record yes/no for each of the questions below. If further explanation is needed, please add this item to the above box.

In the submitted DNA Master file (Yes/No):

Yes -  1. Does the genome sequence in your submitted DNA Master file match the nucleotide fasta file posted on phagesDB (same number of bases, no N bases, etc.)?

Yes -  2. Are all the genes ‘Valid” when you click the [Validation button](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-84)?

Yes -  3. Are the genes (and matching LocusTag numbers) [sequential](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-77), starting with #1, counting by 1s.

Yes -  4. Are the Locus Tags the “[SEA\_PHAGE NAME](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-77)” format?

Yes -  5. Has the [documentation been recreated](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-86) from the Feature Table to match the latest file version?

 N/A  6. Have tRNAs followed the [tRNA protocol](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/undefined), **COPYING** tRNA-AMINOACID type (DNA equivalent of the anti-codon) from Aragorn output - ﻿tRNA-Gln(ctg) - AND the ends been adjusted to match the Aragorn output?

 No - see above  7. Has the [frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-54) been annotated correctly (if applicable)?

 Yes  8. Have you cleared your Draft\_Blast data and have you [re-Blasted](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-57) the submitted DNA Master file?

 Yes  9. Has every gene been [described and supported in your Supporting Data file](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-44)?

 Yes  10. Did you investigate ‘[gaps](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-31)’?

 Yes  11. Did you [delete the genes](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-65) that you meant to delete?

Now, [make a profile of the file](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-64) you plan to send. (And you can save this file for [Review to Improve!)](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/untitled-18)

 Yes  1. Have any duplicate genes been deleted?

 Yes  2. Has the Notes field been cleared (using the automated buttons)?

 Yes  3. Do the gene numbers and locus tags match?

 Yes  4. Are the correct Feature\_Types correctly selected (most will be ORFs, but check that tRNAs and tmRNAs are correctly labeled)?

 Yes  5. Do the function names in the Product field either match the official function list or say “Hypothetical Protein”?

 Yes  6. Has the Function field been cleared (using the automated buttons)?

How are you documenting your gene calls in class? Choose any/all that apply:

  X    PECAAN output

      DNA Master shorthand (previously used format)

  X   Spreadsheet

      Powerpoint

  X   Word document (must be easily searchable)

      Other: Describe.

What is the file type (sort) submitted for QC to document your gene calls? Choose only one.:

      PECAAN output

      DNA Master shorthand (previously used format)

      Spreadsheet

      Powerpoint

      Word document (must be easily searchable)

  X   Other: Describe.  PECAAN output transferred into DNAMaster