Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name:	ItsyBitsy1
Your Name:	Jonathan N. Lawson
Your Institution:	Collin College
Your email:	jlawson@collin.edu
Additional emails: (For correspondence)	bkirkpatrick@collin.edu

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

☐ 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
☐ 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
☐ 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
☑ 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
☐ 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
☐ 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
☐ 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

For the YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

☐ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
☐ b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
☐ c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
☐ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
☐ e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

☐ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
☐ b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
☐ c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
☐ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.