Actinobacteriophage Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

This Cover Sheet will accompany each genome’s annotation file(s) submission and succinctly describe the work that your students and you have done. This document ensures that the work done was as complete and thorough as it could be. Most important to the QC reviewer, denote where the trouble spots were in your annotation and how they were resolved.

Phage Name. Jamun

Your Name. Kyle MacLea

Your Institution. University of New Hampshire at Manchester

Your email. Kyle.maclea@unh.edu

Additional emails. (for correspondence). [Kristen.johnson@unh.edu](mailto:Kristen.johnson@unh.edu), [Fardeen.siddiqui@unh.edu](mailto:Fardeen.siddiqui@unh.edu)

Describe any issues or specific genes that you would like to highlight for the QC reviewer. This includes any genes that you had questions about or received help with or that warrant further inspection in the QC review process. Include those genes that you deliberated on and/or want to strongly advocate for. If you contacted SMART, workshop facilitator, or a buddy school for help, please document.

We deleted three genes from the Auto-Annotation.

A. Jamun (22132) was a small orpham in forward orientation in the midst of reverse genes.

B. Jamun (22399) was a small orpham with no coding potential in an area of gap for all described relatives.

C. Jamun (24564) was a small forward gene only observed in draft genomes at the transition between reverse and forward genes. When the reverse gene to its left had its start site corrected, this gene was completely overlapping in the opposite orientation and was deleted.

One (reverse) gene was added, Jamun\_28 (20692), in the large gap left upon deletion of Jamun (22399), a forward gene in a region of reverse genes. The new gene had a 4 bp overlap with upstream reverse gene, and homology with HNH endonucleases of known function in clustermates. Adding this gene (and deleting Jamun (22399) orpham in the forward direction) does not take up the entire gap between Jamun\_27 and Jamun\_29, so it still leaves a (sizeable) gap on the 5’ end. But this gap is consistent with all other manually annotated cluster members and there is no unexplained coding potential in the gap.

Please record yes/no for each of the questions below. If further explanation is needed, please add this item to the above box.

In the submitted DNA Master file (Yes/No):

Yes 1. Does the genome sequence in your submitted DNA Master file match the nucleotide fasta file posted on phagesDB (same number of bases, no N bases, etc.)? [38821 bp](https://phagesdb.org/phages/Jamun/)

Yes 2. Are all the genes ‘Valid” when you click the [Validation button](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-84)?

Yes 3. Are the genes (and matching LocusTag numbers) [sequential](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-77), starting with #1, counting by 1s.

Yes 4. Are the Locus Tags the “[SEA\_PHAGE NAME](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-77)” format?

Yes 5. Has the [documentation been recreated](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-86) from the Feature Table to match the latest file version?

N/A 6. Have tRNAs followed the [tRNA protocol](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/undefined), **COPYING** tRNA-AMINOACID type (DNA equivalent of the anti-codon) from Aragorn output - ﻿tRNA-Gln(ctg) - AND the ends been adjusted to match the Aragorn output?

Yes 7. Has the [frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-54) been annotated correctly (if applicable)?

Yes 8. Have you cleared your Draft\_Blast data and have you [re-Blasted](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-57) the submitted DNA Master file?

Yes 9. Has every gene been [described and supported in your Supporting Data file](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-44)?

Yes 10. Did you investigate ‘[gaps](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-31)’?

Yes 11. Did you [delete the genes](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-65) that you meant to delete?

Now, [make a profile of the file](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/article-64) you plan to send. (And you can save this file for [Review to Improve!)](https://seaphagesbioinformatics.helpdocsonline.com/untitled-18)

N/A 1. Have any duplicate genes been deleted?

Yes 2. Has the Notes field been cleared (using the automated buttons)?

Yes 3. Do the gene numbers and locus tags match?

Yes 4. Are the correct Feature\_Types correctly selected (most will be ORFs, but check that tRNAs and tmRNAs are correctly labeled)?

Yes 5. Do the function names in the Product field either match the official function list or say “Hypothetical Protein”?

Yes 6. Has the Function field been cleared (using the automated buttons)?

How are you documenting your gene calls in class? Choose any/all that apply:

      PECAAN output

      DNA Master shorthand (previously used format)

      Spreadsheet

      Powerpoint

      Word document (must be easily searchable)

X Other: Describe. Google doc

What is the file type (sort) submitted for QC to document your gene calls? Choose only one.:

      PECAAN output

      DNA Master shorthand (previously used format)

      Spreadsheet

      Powerpoint

      Word document (must be easily searchable)

X Other: Describe. Google doc