Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name: Michley

Your Name: Timothy Breton

Your Institution: University of Maine at Farmington

Your email: timothy.breton@maine.edu

Additional emails: (For correspondence) jeandoty@maine.edu

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

- ☑ 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- ☑ 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
- ☑ 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
- ☑ 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
- ☑ 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
- ☑ 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
- ☑ 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

For the YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- ☑ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ☑ b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
- ☑ c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- ☑ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
- ☑ e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- ☑ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ☑ b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
- ☑ c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
- ☑ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

Please check for a gene at position 39360. We originally added a gene at this location due to a large gap and some coding potential, but in the end removed it due to a lack of BLAST results, high overlap with the preceding gene, and no matches to other phages.

Please check the function listed for MICHLEY_51 - we listed it as phosphoesterase, but there also seems to be some support on blastp and phamerator for RDF. We were unsure.

The gene at stop position 40010 should also be checked, as it was not called by GeneMark and had no significant BLAST hits. A gene at stop position 40261 also had no significant BLAST hits.