Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name:

Your Name:

notes).

Roosevelt

Roy Coomans

Y	our I	r Institution:		North Carolina A&T State University	
Y	our e	ur email:		coomansr@ncat.edu	
		ditional emails: or correspondence)			
PI	Please check each box indicating completion of each task			x indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated	
√ <p< td=""><td>4.</td><td>Are all Have to number Have a Are the Has th Section Have to</td><td colspan="3">Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org? Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? Section 9.3.2 Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? Section 9.3.3 Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? Section 9.3.4 Are the locus tags the phage name? Section 9.3.3 Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? Section 1.4 Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? Section 9.5.3-4</td></p<>	4.	Are all Have to number Have a Are the Has th Section Have to	Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org? Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? Section 9.3.2 Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? Section 9.3.3 Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? Section 9.3.4 Are the locus tags the phage name? Section 9.3.3 Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? Section 1.4 Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? Section 9.5.3-4		
	8.	For the	e items t	pelow, generate a genome profile, and review the following. Section 11.3	
✓ ✓ ✓		a. b. Anno c. d. e.	Have an Does evotation G Do the full bother full bother means are marked as the full bother means are marked as the full bothe means are marked as the full bother means are m	nageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file: by duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed? bery gene have one and only one complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the fuide)? bunctions in the Notes match the official function list? bunction field EMPTY for all features? butes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation? ChageName .dnam5 file:	
✓ ✓		b. c.	Is the No Do the fo	y duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed? otes field empty for all the features with no known function? unction names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable? nction field EMPTY for all features?	
	9.		5788	ssues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and inspection in the Quality Control review.	
Cl	neck	functio	n for gp4	1; start call on gp25 uncertain (see notes); function for gp36; gp38	

(delete? see notes); gp50 function; gp54 overlap with gp55 (see notes); gp75 start call (see