Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name: GardenSalsa

Your Name: Kristen Butela

Your Institution: Seton Hill University

Your email: kbutela@setonhill.edu

Additional emails:
 (For correspondence)

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

- ✔ 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- ✔ 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
- ✔ 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
- ✔ 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
- ✔ 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
- ✔ 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
- ✔ 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

   For the YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:
   - ✔ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
   - ✔ b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
   - ✔ c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
   - ✔ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
   - ✔ e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

   For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

   - ✔ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
   - ✔ b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
   - ✔ c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
   - ✔ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

gp4: large gap from upstream gene; investigated but found no evidence of a gene

gp25: listed as putative tail protein in Phamerator map of Rey, but this function is not on approved function list

gp31: chosen start agrees with Rey, but Starterator recommends 22010 bp (may be due to draft genomes)

gp50: all published Cluster M genomes (Rey, Bongo, PegLeg) call start with a 39bp overlap with previous gene. Starterator shows a conserved start present in all genomes that is shorter, may reduce overlap. Coding potential covered for both.

gp94: evidence of an HNH endonuclease function (HHPred, conserved domain found in NCBI, other phages in phagesdb Blast with E values less than e-03), but no functions called for any