Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name:	JangDynasty
Your Name:	Arturo Diaz
Your Institution:	La Sierra University
Your email:	adiaz@lasierra.edu
Additional emails: (For correspondence)	

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

- [x] 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- [x] 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
- [x] 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
- [x] 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
- [x] 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
- [x] 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
- [x] 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

For the YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
- [x] c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- [x] d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
- [x] e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
- [x] c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
- [x] d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

• Gap of 490 bp between genes 10 and 11: the gap is fairly big but we could not find any coding potential.
• Region between gene 21 and 22: There was no coding potential in the reverse direction. However, there was coding potential in the forward direction that is less than 100 base pairs long according to GeneMark. In addition, if the forward direction gene was indeed a gene, there would be only a 26 base pair gap between gene 22 and the hypothetical added forward gene, not allowing enough space for a promoter. However, we blasted this nucleotide sequence and saw similarities between phages in the same cluster, namely Firecracker and Corndog and neither had a gene in that region.