Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Preliminary Annotation Review Checklist 5-15-2018

Phage Name:	Klevey
Your Name:	Amaya Garcia Costas
Your Institution:	Colorado State University-Pueblo
Your email:	amaya.garciacostas@csupueb
Additional emails: (For correspondence)	

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. If you are not sure how to do something, please see the Online Bioinformatics manual page "How to Pass Preliminary Review".

- [x] 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- [x] 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button?
- [x] 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number?
- [x] 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed?
- [x] 5. Are the locus tags the"SEA_ PHAGENAME"?
- [x] 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table?
- [ ] 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE?
- [ ] 8. Has the frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone been annotated (where applicable?)

9. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. For the

YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes
- [x] c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- [x] d. Are all three lines of functional evidence described for EVERY gene?
- [x] e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Is the Notes field empty (including hidden marks?)
- [x] c. Do the function names in the Product field either match the official function list or say "Hypothetical Protein"?
- [x] d. Is the Function field empty (including hidden marks?)

- [ ] 10. Did you use PECAAN to annotate your phage?

If, so please describe how in the text field after question 11.

11. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

This genome belongs to a very new cluster with 4 genomes, 1 we already annotated ourselves, 2 of them we have in draft ourselves -including this one- and a fourth one, annotated by a different institution. The two finalized genomes are Prairie (ours) and Bumble (from a different institution). I am worried about propagating issues/inconsistencies that I think have already appeared in the first two genomes in this cluster. For the first half of the genome, most of the issues are with functions; for the second half of the genome, most of the issues are with starts. The SS tool felt a bit hopeless in that for many of our genes, the pham consisted of genes from the two draft genomes!

? Gene 1 and 2 (1; 428): small terminase and large terminase; not annotated as such in the