Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Pre-QC Phage Genome Annotation Checklist

Phage Name: LuckyBarnes

Your Name: Dmitri Mavrodi

Your Institution: University of Southern Mississippi

Your email: dmitri.mavrodi@usm.edu

Additional emails:
(For correspondence)

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. Annotation Guide section #'s indicated

- [x] 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- [x] 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button? *Section 9.3.2*
- [x] 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number? *Section 9.3.3*
- [x] 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? *Section 9.3.4*
- [x] 5. Are the locus tags the phage name? *Section 9.3.3*
- [x] 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table? *Section 1.4*
- [x] 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? *Section 9.5.3-4*

8. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. *Section 11.3*

For the YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes (see fig 12.2 in the Annotation Guide)?
- [x] c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- [x] d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?
- [x] e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- [x] a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- [x] b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function?
- [x] c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list, when applicable?
- [x] d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

9. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

1) The analysis of Gp33 with HHPred showed a weak match (Prob=95.7 e=0.034) to the mitochondrial import receptor subunit. However, an additional analysis with Protter (http://wlab.ethz.ch/protter/start/) revealed the presence of two well-conserved transmembrane helices (the HHPred match covers this region). The gene is situated adjacent to the lysin gene gp32. Hence, we suggest that this gene forms part of the lytic cassette and encodes a class II holin with two TM helices.
2) LuckyBarnes carries genes encoding proteins with predicted thymidylate synthase/pyrimidine hydroxymethylase (Gp41) and glycosyltransferase (Gp39 and Gp40) activities. We suggest that these enzymes may be involved in the methylation and glycosylation of phage DNA to improve its resistance to degradation by host nucleases. The