Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Preliminary Annotation Review Checklist 5-15-2018

Phage Name: Nanosmite

Your Name: Deb Tobiason

Your Institution: Carthage College

Your email: dtobiason@carthage.edu

Additional emails:
(For correspondence)

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. If you are not sure how to do something, please see the Online Bioinformatics manual page "How to Pass Preliminary Review".

- ☑ 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- ☑ 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button?
- ☑ 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number?
- ☑ 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed?
- ☑ 5. Are the locus tags the"SEA_ PHAGENAME"?
- ☑ 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table?
- ☑ 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE?
- ☑ 8. Has the frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone been annotated (where applicable?)

9. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. For the

YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- ☑ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ☑ b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes
- ☑ c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- ☑ d. Are all three lines of functional evidence described for EVERY gene?
- ☑ e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- ☑ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ☑ b. Is the Notes field empty (including hidden marks?)
- ☑ c. Do the function names in the Product field either match the official function list or say "Hypothetical Protein"?
- ☑ d. Is the Function field empty (including hidden marks?)

☑ 10. Did you use PECAAN to annotate your phage?

If, so please describe how in the text field after question 11.

11. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

Pecaan was used to create the notes for the annotation which were incorporated into the DNAmaster file. All HHpred hits were double checked using the web-based version as some anomolies between Pecaan results produced were different than those from the web-based version. All tRNAs were double checked using web-based version of Aragorn and tRNA scan SE. A reverse gene was deleted at 59320- 58724 as it overlapped with a tRNA (FWD) in a large region of tRNAs and was an orpham with no functional hits. gp102 was added in this region between tRNAs as this gene had good Blastp hits with a gene in M2 phages and good HHpred hits with zinc binding domain (though no HNH motif was identified- that is what I was originally looking for).