Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Preliminary Annotation Review Checklist 5-15-2018

	Phage	Name: Pocahontas
	Your N	Name: Kirsten M. Thompson
	Your I	nstitution: University of Maine at Fort Kent
	Your e	email: kirsten.thompson@maine.edu
		onal emails: orrespondence)
		e check each box indicating completion of each task. If you are not sure how to do something, see the Online Bioinformatics manual page "How to Pass Preliminary Review".
	1.	Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
Ļ		Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button?
	√ 3.	Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number?
		Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed? Are the locus tags the "SEA_ PHAGENAME"?
	√ 6.	Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table?
	√ 7. √ 8.	Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE? Has the frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone been annotated (where applicable?)
	9.	For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. For the
		YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:
	√ √ √	 a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed? b. Does every gene have one and only one complete set of Notes c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list? d. Are all three lines of functional evidence described for EVERY gene?
ŀ	<u>√</u>	e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation? For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:
[<u> </u>	a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed? b. Is the Notes field empty (including hidden marks?)
<u> </u> 	<u>√</u>	Do the function names in the Product field either match the official function list or say "Hypothetical Protein"?
[<u></u>	d. Is the Function field empty (including hidden marks?)

- ✓ 10. Did you use PECAAN to annotate your phage?
 - If, so please describe how in the text field after question 11.
 - Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

PECAAN was used to consolidate the evidence required for gene annotation. The data was then loaded back into DNAmaster and edited by hand to remove unneed information.

The autoannotation did not produce any overlapping genes, hence, we only removed one putative tRNA that was not ID'ed by Aragorn after trimming. Phamerator does show several more genes than either PECAAN or DNAmaster returned, but they are all in the right arm of the phage and very small. Based on our investigation of gaps, we do not believe those 'extra' genes are real.