Genome Annotation Submission Cover Sheet

Preliminary Annotation Review Checklist 4-4-2018

Phage Name:	Scamander
Your Name:	Kristi M. Westover
Your Institution:	Winthrop University
Your email:	westoverk@winthrop.edu
Additional emails: (For correspondence)	frostv@winthrop.edu

Please check each box indicating completion of each task. If you are not sure how to do something, please see the Online Bioinformatics manual page "How to Pass Preliminary Review".

- ☑ 1. Does the genome sequence in your final contain the same number of bases and is it the same as the posted sequence on phagesdb.org?
- ☑ 2. Are all the genes "valid" when you click the "validate" button?
- ☑ 3. Have the genes been renumbered such that they go sequentially from 1 to the highest number?
- ☑ 4. Have all old BLAST hits been cleared, and all gene features reBLASTed?
- ☑ 5. Are the locus tags the"SEA_ PHAGENAME"?
- ☑ 6. Has the Documentation been recreated to match the information in the feature table?
- ☑ 7. Have tRNA ends been adjusted with web-based Aragorn and/or tRNAscan SE?
- ☑ 8. Has the frameshift in the tail assembly chaperone been annotated (where applicable?)

9. For the items below, generate a genome profile, and review the following. For the

YourPhageName_CompleteNotes.dnam5 file:

- ☑ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ☑ b. Does every gene have **one and only one** complete set of Notes
- ☑ c. Do the functions in the Notes match the official function list?
- ☑ d. Are all three lines of functional evidence described for EVERY gene?
- ☑ e. Do the notes contain the initial Glimmer/GeneMark data from the autoannotation?

For the YourPhageName .dnam5 file:

- ☑ a. Have any duplicate genes (or any with the same stop coordinate?) been removed?
- ☑ b. Is the Notes field empty for all the features with no known function (including hidden marks?
- ☑ c. Do the function names in the Notes match the official function list?
- ☑ d. Is the function field EMPTY for all features?

☑ 10. Did you use PECAAN to annotate your phage?

If, so please describe how in the text field after question 11.

11. Describe any issues or specific genes that you were unable to satisfactorily resolve, and warrant further inspection in the Quality Control review.

For gp17, we called a lysin function but HHPred returned a significant match to PBD 2HSI_A Crystal structure of putative peptidase; PEPTIDASE, STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, PSI, PROTEIN; 1.9A {Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1} 53% Alignment Prob=99.74 E=2.9e-19.

For gp19, we called a membrane protein function but HHPred did return a marginal match to PFAM PF16081.4; Phage_holin_7_1 ; Mycobacterial 2 TMS Phage Holin (M2 Hol) Family 67.7% Alignment Prob=93.77 E=0.072.

For gp21, we called a DNA binding protein but HHPred returned a match to Excisionase/DNA Complex phage lambda PDB 1RH6_A 80% Alignment, 97.91% Probability, E = 7.6e-7.

For gp24, we called a HTH DNA binding domain protein, MerR-like function, but HHPred did return a significant match to PDB 1Y6U_A Excisionase from transposon Tn916; DNA architectural protein, Tyrosine recombinase; NMR {Enterococcus faecalis} 91.8% Alignment Prob=99 E=3.9e-11.